Data supplement for Romer et al., Pervasively Thinner Neocortex as a Transdiagnostic Feature of General Psychopathology. Am J Psychiatry (doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.19090934)

CONTENTS

PARTICIPANT ATTRITION ANALYSES
Assessing Psychopathology
MODELLING THE STRUCTURE OF PSYCHOPATHOLOGY
MRI ACQUISITION PARAMETERS
MRI DATA PROCESSING
VOXEL-BASED MORPHOMETRY
References
TABLE S1. STANDARDIZED FACTOR LOADINGS FOR MODELS OF THE STRUCTURE OF
PSYCHOPATHOLOGY
FIGURE S1. ATTRITION ANALYSES SHOW SCANNED STUDY MEMBERS ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF
THE ENTIRE COHORT ON MEASURES OF CHILDHOOD IQ, SES, AND P FACTOR
FIGURE S2. STRUCTURE OF MENTAL-DISORDER DATA COLLECTED IN THE DUNEDIN STUDY 18
FIGURE S3. CONFIRMATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS MODELS OF THE STRUCTURE OF
psychopathology
FIGURE S4. PLOT OF THE POSITIVE CORRELATION BETWEEN THE VARIETY AND PERSISTENCE OF
MENTAL DISORDERS AND P FACTOR SCORES IN THE DUNEDIN STUDY

FIGURE S5. COVARIATE ANALYSES OF ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN FACTORS SCORES AND GLOBAL
CORTICAL THICKNESS
FIGURE S6. CASE-CONTROL ANALYSES OF GLOBAL CORTICAL THICKNESS
FIGURE S7. Forest plots depicting the effect sizes (standardized BS) and 95%
CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN EACH OF THE FOUR FACTOR SCORES AND
CORTICAL THICKNESS FOR ALL 360 PARCELS, DIVIDED INTO LEFT AND RIGHT HEMISPHERE
COLUMNS
FIGURE S8. MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF PARCEL-WISE CORTICAL THICKNESS
FIGURE S9. Forest plots depicting the effect sizes (standardized BS) and 95%
CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN EACH OF THE FOUR FACTOR SCORES AND
SURFACE AREA FOR ALL 360 PARCELS, DIVIDED INTO LEFT AND RIGHT HEMISPHERE COLUMNS
FIGURE S10. WHOLE-BRAIN VOXEL-BASED MORPHOMETRY MAPS FOR EACH OF THE FOUR FACTOR
SCORES
TABLE S2. SUMMARY STATISTICS AND ANATOMICAL LABELS FOR SIGNIFICANT CLUSTERS IN THE
VBM ANALYSES OF GREY MATTER VOLUME CORRELATES OF THE FOUR FACTOR SCORES DEPICTED
IN FIGURE \$10

Participant Attrition Analyses

We conducted an attrition analysis using childhood intelligence quotient (IQ), childhood socioeconomic status (SES), and p factor scores (**Figure S1**).

No significant differences in childhood IQ were found between the full cohort, those still alive, those seen at Phase 45 or those scanned at Phase 45. Those who were deceased by the Phase 45 data collection had significantly lower childhood IQ's than those who were still alive (t = 2.09, p = 0.04).

No significant differences were found between the full cohort, those deceased, those alive, those seen at Phase 45 or those scanned at Phase 45 on childhood SES.

No significant differences in *p* factor scores were found between the full cohort, those still alive, those seen at Phase 45 or those scanned at Phase 45. Those who were deceased by the Phase 45 data collection had significantly higher *p* factor scores than those who were still alive (t = 2.86, p = 0.004).

Assessing Psychopathology

Mental disorders are disturbances in thought, behavior, and emotion that interfere with or limit social, family, educational, or work activities. In the Dunedin Study, these were identified according to the criteria of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM).

Psychiatric interviews were carried out by health professionals, not lay interviewers. At ages 18, 21, 26, 32, 38, and 45, interviews were carried out with the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (1,2). The following disorders were assessed (Figure S2): Externalizing (ADHD, Conduct Disorder, Alcohol Dependence, Tobacco Dependence, Cannabis Dependence, Other Drug Dependence), Internalizing (Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Depression, Fears [including Social Phobia, Simple Phobia, Agoraphobia, Panic Disorder], Eating Disorders [including Bulimia and Anorexia], PTSD), and Thought disorders (Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder, Mania, Schizophrenia). To allow the study of comorbidity, multiple diagnoses could be assigned to a participant at once. However, DSM exclusionary criteria were applied (e.g., hallucinations better explained by drug use were not counted toward schizophrenia; generalized anxiety disorder was not diagnosed if the anxiety stemmed solely from fear about public speaking). The diagnoses were made using computerized algorithms matching the DSM criteria, and additionally requiring self-reported impairment ratings. For disorders where self-reports can be compromised by lack of insight (such as schizophrenia, mania), we also turned to information from additional sources, such as interviews with parents, systematic questionnaires mailed to informants who know the study member well (present data for 97% of the cohort), standardized clinical staff ratings (of observed behavior, such as poor grooming or bizarre speech, during the day of assessment), and medical records for each cohort member from the New Zealand national health system. In the case of schizophrenia and mania, narrative dossiers of symptoms were reviewed by experienced psychiatrists to achieve diagnostic consensus. These details are reported in our previous publications (see, e.g. (3)).

At ages 18 and 21, diagnoses were made according to DSM-III-R (3); at ages 26, 32, and 38, according to DSM-IV (4); at age 45 according to the now-current DSM-V (5) (with the

exception of substance-dependence disorders which were diagnosed according to DSM-IV, given that DSM-V dropped the distinction between abuse and dependence).

Modelling the Structure of Psychopathology

We have previously described the structure of psychopathology up to age 38 years (6); here we extend these models to include the age 45 data.

To evaluate the structure of psychopathology we used data from 6 adult assessments, carried out at ages 18, 21, 26, 32, 38, and 45 years. We studied DSM-defined symptoms of the following disorders that were repeatedly assessed in our longitudinal study: ADHD, Conduct Disorder, Alcohol Dependence, Cannabis Dependence, Dependence on Hard Drugs, Tobacco Dependence (assessed with the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (7)), Depression, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Fears/Phobias (Social Phobia, Simple Phobia, Agoraphobia, Panic Disorder), PTSD, Eating Disorders (Anorexia, Bulimia), Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder, Mania, and positive and negative Schizophrenia symptoms. Ordinal measures represented the number of the observed DSM-defined symptoms associated with each disorder. Fears/phobias were assessed as the count of diagnoses for simple phobia, social phobia, agoraphobia, and panic disorder that a study member reported at each assessment. Of the 14 disorders, 6 were not assessed at every occasion, but each disorder was measured at least three times (Figure S2). Of the original 1,037 study members, we included 1,000 study members who had symptom count assessments for at least one age (845 study members had present symptom counts for all six assessments, 90 for five, 30 for four, 13 for three, and 14 for two). The 37 excluded study members comprised those

who died (N=13) or left the Study (N=21) before age 18 or who had such severe developmental disabilities (N=3) that they could not be interviewed with the Diagnostic Interview Schedule.

Using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), we tested two standard models that are frequently used to examine the structure of psychopathology (8): (a) a correlated-factors model and (b) a hierarchical or bifactor model. In CFA, latent continuous factors are hypothesized to account for the pattern of covariance among observed variables. Our CFAs were run as multitraitmultimethod models. In these models, observed variables represented each of the disorders with a symptom scale at each assessment age (e.g., alcohol dependence was measured with a symptom scale at ages 18, 21, 26, 32, 38, and 45). Each model also included method/state factors designed to pull out age- and assessment-related variance (e.g., interviewer effects, mood effects, and age-specific vulnerabilities) that was uncorrelated with trait propensity toward psychopathology. Because symptom-level data are ordinal and have highly skewed distributions, we used polychoric correlations when testing our models. Polychoric correlations provide estimates of the Pearson correlation by mapping thresholds to underlying normally distributed continuous latent variables that are assumed to give rise to the observed ordinal variables. All CFA analyses were performed in Mplus version 8.3 (9) using the weighted least squares means and variance adjusted (WLSMV) algorithm. The WLSMV estimator is appropriate for categorical and nonmultivariate normal data and provides consistent estimates when data are missing at random with respect to covariates (10). We assessed how well each model fit the data using the chi-square value, the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA). CFI values greater

than .95 and TLI values greater than 0.95 indicate good fit; RMSEA scores less than .05 are considered good (11).

The correlated-factors model (**Figure S3, Model A**) tests the hypothesis that there are latent trait factors, each of which influences a subset of the diagnostic symptoms. We tested three factors representing Externalizing (with loadings from ADHD, conduct disorder, alcohol, cannabis, tobacco, and other drug dependence), Internalizing (with loadings from MDE, GAD, fears/phobias, PTSD, and eating disorders), and Thought Disorder (with loadings from OCD, mania, and schizophrenia). The model fit the data well: χ^2 (2465, N=1,000) = 4082.230, CFI = .933, TLI = .929, RMSEA = .026, 90% confidence interval (CI) = [.024, .027]. As shown in **Table S1**, loadings on the three specific factors were all positive, generally high (all ps < .001), and averaged .790—Externalizing: average loading = .743; Internalizing: average loading = .814; Thought Disorder: average loading = .844. Correlations between the three factors were all positive and ranged from .420 between Internalizing and Externalizing to .847 between Internalizing and Thought Disorder. Thus, this model confirmed that three correlated factors (i.e., Internalizing, Externalizing, and Thought Disorder) explain well the structure of the disorder symptoms examined across 27 years of adulthood.

The hierarchical or bifactor model (**Figure S3, Model B**) tests the hypothesis that the symptom measures reflect both General Psychopathology and three narrower styles of psychopathology. General Psychopathology (labeled *p* in **Figure S3, Model B**) is represented by a factor that directly influences all of the diagnostic symptom factors. In addition, styles of psychopathology are represented by three factors, each of which influences a smaller subset of the symptom items.

For example, alcohol symptoms load jointly on the General Psychopathology factor and on the Externalizing style factor. The specific factors represent the constructs of Externalizing, Internalizing, and Thought Disorder over and above General Psychopathology. Model B had a Heywood case, an estimated variance that was negative for one of the lower-order disorder/symptom factors (specifically, mania), suggesting this was not a valid model. Inspection of the results revealed the source of the convergence problem. Specifically, the Thought Disorder factor was subsumed in p; that is, in the hierarchical model, symptoms of OCD, mania, and schizophrenia loaded very highly on p, but unlike symptoms of Externalizing and Internalizing, they could not form a separate Thought Disorder factor independently of *p*. We respecified the model accordingly, depicted in Model B' Figure S3. This model fit the data well: $\chi^2(2457, N=1,000) = 3695.364$, CFI = .949, TLI = .945, RMSEA = .022, 90% CI [.021, .024]. As shown in **Table S1**, loadings on the General factor (*p*) were all positive, generally high (all ps < .001), and averaged .612; the highest standardized loadings were for mania (.976), schizophrenia (.865), PTSD (.860), and OCD (.772). Figure S4 shows that the p factor captures how cohort members differ from each other in the variety and persistence of many different kinds of disorders over the adult life course. Cohort members with higher p scores experienced a greater variety of psychiatric disorders from adolescence to midlife (r=.76).

MRI Acquisition Parameters

MP-RAGE: TR = 2400 ms; TE = 1.98 ms; 208 sagittal slices; flip angle, 9°; FOV = 224 mm; matrix = 256×256 ; slice thickness = 0.9 mm with no gap (voxel size = $0.9 \times 0.875 \times 0.875$ mm); and total scan time = 6 min and 52 s.

3D fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR): TR = 8000 ms; TE = 399 ms; 160 sagittal slices; FOV = 240mm; matrix = 232×256 ; slice thickness = 1.2 mm (voxel size = $0.9 \times 0.9 \times 1.2$ mm); and total scan time = 5 min and 38 s.

Gradient echo field map: TR = 712 ms; TE = 4.92 and 7.38 ms; 72 axial slices; FOV = 200mm; matrix = 100×100 ; slice thickness = 2.0 mm (voxel size = 2x2x2 mm); and total scan time = 2min and 25 s.

MRI Data Processing

T1-weighted and FLAIR images were processed through the PreFreeSurfer, FreeSurfer, and PostFreeSurfer pipelines. T1-weighted and FLAIR images were corrected for readout distortion using the gradient echo field map, coregistered, brain-extracted, and aligned together in the native T1 space using boundary-based registration (12). Images were then processed with a custom FreeSurfer recon-all pipeline that is optimized for structural MRI with higher resolution than 1 mm isotropic. Finally, recon-all output were converted into CIFTI format and registered to common 32k_FS_LR mesh using MSM-sulc (13).

Voxel-Based Morphometry (VBM)

Regional gray matter volumes were determined using the unified segmentation and DARTEL normalization modules in SPM12 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). Using this approach, individual T1-weighted images were segmented into gray, white, and CSF images then non-linearly registered to the existing IXI template of 550 healthy subjects averaged in standard Montreal Neurological Institute space, available with VBM8 (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm/). Subsequently, gray matter images were scaled by the Jacobian determinant of the

transformation to preserve the total amount of signal from each region, and smoothed with an 8mm FWHM Gaussian kernel. The voxel size of processed images was $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ mm. A gray matter mask for subsequent analyses was created by thresholding the final stage (6th) IXI template at 0.1. Whole-brain voxel-based morphometry maps for each factor were thresholded at voxel-wise p < 0.05, FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons.

As estimates of grey matter volume conflate cortical thickness and surface area, and grey matter volume has a higher correlation with surface area than thickness (14), we did not expect the VBM analyses to recapitulate our surface-based findings. The results of our VBM analyses (Table S2; Figure S10) confirmed our expectations. The paucity of VBM associations across all four factors likely reflects the specificity of our surface-based findings largely to cortical thickness. The biased representation of surface area and cortical thickness in grey matter volume is further reflected in the observation that the Externalizing factor exhibited the highest number of clusters with significantly reduced grey matter volume as total surface area was only correlated with the Externalizing factor in our primary analyses.

As shown in Table S2, we found reduced grey matter volume predominantly within the superior frontal gyrus including orbital and medial regions, thalamus, and cuneus within the occipital cortex in individuals with high p factor scores. Our findings are consistent with previous research showing reduced prefrontal grey matter volume within medial and orbitofrontal regions in youth with high p factor scores (15). Smaller thalamic volume has been previously identified as a common structural neural correlate in a transdiagnostic VBM meta-analysis (16). Reduced thalamic grey matter volume in individuals with high p factor scores is also consistent with our

prior research showing structural alterations within a cerebello-thalamo-cortical circuit involved in executive control in young adults with high p factor scores (17,18). In these same studies, we also found reduced grey matter volume within the visual association cortex in those with high pfactor scores, which specifically overlaps with our current finding of reduced grey matter volume in the cuneus region of occipital cortex.

Prior VBM meta-analyses have reported smaller grey matter volume of the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) and insula across diagnostic categories (16,19). Our VBM analyses did not reveal similar associations with the p factor. However, our methods for examining phenotypic commonalities across diagnostic categories are different from these meta-analyses, which employed case-control designs and tested for convergence across diagnostic groups vs. healthy controls. The p factor captures shared variation across diagnostic categories essentially measuring severity and comorbidity of psychiatric disorders continuously, which is substantially different from the approach of collapsing across diagnostic categories. Thus, we would not necessarily expect our VBM findings to completely match those of previous meta-analyses. Differences in age also could be a factor contributing to mismatches between our current and previous VBM findings. For example, recent research by Kaczkurkin et al. (20) showed reduced global grey matter volume and cortical thinning in only two of 18 cortical networks in youth with high p factor scores (aged 8-21). Our results may differ from those of Kaczkurkin et al. (20) due to the difference in ages between the two samples (youth vs. midlife adults aged 45), suggesting possible developmental changes in grey matter volume and cortical thickness patterns in those with high general psychopathology throughout the life course.

References

- 1. Robins LN, Cottler L, Bucholz K, Compton W. Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV. St. Louis, MO: Washington University Press; 1995.
- 2. Robins LN, Helzer JE, Croughan J, Ratcliff KS. National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule: Its history, characteristics, and validity. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1981 Apr 1;38(4):381–9.
- 3. APA. American Psychological Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Revised Third ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1987.
- 4. APA. American Psychological Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fourth ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
- 5. APA. American Psychological Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fifth ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- Caspi A, Houts RM, Belsky DW, Goldman-Mellor SJ, Harrington H, Israel S, et al. The p factor: One general psychopathology factor in the structure of psychiatric disorders? Clin Psychol Sci J Assoc Psychol Sci. 2014 Mar;2(2):119–37.
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerström KO. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. Br J Addict. 1991 Sep;86(9):1119–27.
- Caspi A, Moffitt TE. All for one and one for all: mental disorders in one dimension. Am J Psychiatry. 2018 Apr 6;175(9):831–44.
- 9. Muthen LK, Muthen BO. Mplus User's Guide. (Eight ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Muthen & Muthen; 1998.
- 10. Asparouhov T, Muthen B. Weighted Least Squares Estimation with Missing Data. Available from: Retrieved from http://www.statmodel.com/download/GstrucMissingRevision.pdf
- Bollen KA, Curran PJ. Latent Curve Models A Structural Equation Perspective Introduction. [Internet]. 2006. 15 p. Available from: Retrieved from <Go to ISI>://WOS:000297795700002.
- 12. Greve DN, Fischl B. Accurate and robust brain image alignment using boundary-based registration. NeuroImage. 2009 Oct 15;48(1):63–72.
- Robinson EC, Jbabdi S, Glasser MF, Andersson J, Burgess GC, Harms MP, et al. MSM: a new flexible framework for Multimodal Surface Matching☆. NeuroImage. 2014 Oct 15;100:414–26.

- Winkler AM, Kochunov P, Blangero J, Almasy L, Zilles K, Fox PT, et al. Cortical Thickness or Grey Matter Volume? The Importance of Selecting the Phenotype for Imaging Genetics Studies. NeuroImage. 2010 Nov 15;53(3):1135–46.
- 15. Snyder HR, Hankin BL, Sandman CA, Head K, Davis EP. Distinct patterns of reduced prefrontal and limbic grey matter volume in childhood general and internalizing psychopathology. Clin Psychol Sci J Assoc Psychol Sci. 2017 Nov;5(6):1001–13.
- Goodkind M, Eickhoff SB, Oathes DJ, Jiang Y, Chang A, Jones-Hagata LB, et al. Identification of a common neurobiological substrate for mental illness. JAMA Psychiatry. 2015 Apr 1;72(4):305–15.
- Romer AL, Knodt AR, Houts R, Brigidi BD, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, et al. Structural alterations within cerebellar circuitry are associated with general liability for common mental disorders. Mol Psychiatry. 2018 Apr;23(4):1084–90.
- 18. Romer AL, Knodt AR, Sison ML, Ireland D, Houts R, Ramrakha S, et al. Replicability of structural brain alterations associated with general psychopathology: evidence from a population-representative birth cohort. Mol Psychiatry. 2019 Dec 3;1–8.
- 19. Sha Z, Wager TD, Mechelli A, He Y. Common dysfunction of large-scale neurocognitive networks across psychiatric disorders. Biol Psychiatry. 2019 Mar 1;85(5):379–88.
- 20. Kaczkurkin AN, Park SS, Sotiras A, Moore TM, Calkins ME, Cieslak M, et al. Evidence for dissociable linkage of dimensions of psychopathology to brain structure in youths. Am J Psychiatry [Internet]. 2019 Jun 24 [cited 2019 Sep 4]; Available from: https://ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/abs/10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.18070835

	Model A: Correlated Factors			Model B': Bifactor Model			
Model Fit Statistics	1000 000			0.005.004			
Chi-Square (WLSMV)	4082.230			3695.364			
Degrees of Freedom	2465			2457			
Comparative Fit Index	0.933			0.949			
Tucker-Lewis Index	0.929			0.945			
RMSEA [90% CI]	0.026 [0.024, 0	0.027]		0.022 [0.021, 0.024]			
			Thought				
	Externalizing	Internalizing	Disorder	<i>p</i> factor	Externalizing	Internalizing	
Standardized factor loading	28						
ADHD	0.567			0.595	0.121		
Alcohol	0.651			0.300	0.622		
Cannabis	0.831			0.369	0.850		
Hard drugs	0.845			0.466	0.694		
Tobacco	0.675			0.450	0.468		
Conduct disorder	0.888			0.504	0.714		
Major depression		0.968		0.768		0.587	
Generalized anxiety		0.892		0.686		0.642	
Fears/phobias		0.717		0.582		0.424	
Eating disorder		0.499		0.377		0.374	
PTSD		0.994		0.860		0.351	
OCD			0.739	0.772			
Mania			0.955	0.976			
Schizophrenia			0.838	0.865			
Factor Correlations							
Externalizing		0.420	0.622				
Internalizing			0.847				

TABLE S1. Standardized factor loadings for models of the structure of psychopathology.

FIGURE S1. Attrition analyses show scanned study members are representative of the entire cohort on measures of (A) childhood IQ, (B) SES, and (C) p factor scores. (A)



Those who were deceased by the Phase 45 data collection had significantly lower childhood IQ's

than those who were still alive (t = 2.09, p = 0.04).



No significant differences were found between the full cohort, those deceased, those alive, those seen at Phase 45 or those scanned at Phase 45 on childhood SES.



No significant differences in *p* factor scores were found between the full cohort, those still alive, those seen at Phase 45 or those scanned at Phase 45. Those who were deceased by the Phase 45 data collection had significantly higher *p* factor scores than those who were still alive (t = 2.86, p = 0.004).

(**C**)

FIGURE S2. Structure of mental-disorder data collected in the Dunedin Study.

	18y	21y	26y	32y	38y	45y
EXTERNALIZING DISORDERS						
ADHD	•				•	•
Conduct Disorder	•	•	•	٠	•	•
Alcohol Dependence	•	•	•	•	•	•
Tobacco Dependence	•	•	•	٠	•	•
Cannabis Dependence	•	•	•	•	•	•
Drug Dependence			•	٠	•	•
INTERNALIZING DISORDERS						
Anxiety (GAD)	•	•	•	٠	•	•
Depression (MDE)	•	•	•	•	•	•
Fears (any Panic, SIP, SOP, Agoraphobia)	•	•	•	٠	•	•
Eating Disorder (any bulimia, anorexia)	•	•	•			
PTSD			•	٠	•	•
THOUGHT DISORDERS						
Obsessive Compulsive Disorder	•	•	•	٠	•	•
Mania		•	•	•	•	•
Schizophrenia		•	•	•	•	•

The chart shows the age at which each disorder was assessed. Although each disorder was not

assessed at every age, each disorder was assessed on at least three occasions.



FIGURE S3. Confirmatory factor analysis models of the structure of psychopathology. Model A

Model A is the correlated-factors model. Using this model, we tested the hypothesis that there are latent trait factors, each of which influences a subset of the diagnostic symptoms. We tested three factors representing Externalizing (with loadings from ADHD, conduct disorder, alcohol dependence, cannabis dependence, drug dependence and tobacco dependence), Internalizing (with loadings from MDE, GAD, fears/phobias, PTSD, and eating disorders), and Thought Disorder (with loadings from OCD, mania, and schizophrenia).

Model B



Model B is the hierarchical or bifactor model. Using this model, we tested the hypothesis that the symptom measures reflect both General Psychopathology and three narrower styles of psychopathology. General Psychopathology (labeled *p* in Model B) is represented by a factor that directly influences all of the diagnostic symptom factors. In addition, styles of psychopathology are represented by three factors, each of which influences a smaller subset of the symptom items. For example, alcohol symptoms load jointly on the General Psychopathology factor and on the Externalizing style factor. The specific factors represent symptoms of Externalizing, Internalizing, and Thought Disorder that are independent of General Psychopathology.





Model B had a Heywood case, an estimated variance that was negative for one of the lowerorder disorder/symptom factors (specifically, mania), suggesting this was not a valid model. Inspection of the results revealed the source of the convergence problem. Specifically, the Thought Disorder factor was subsumed in *p*; that is, in the hierarchical model, symptoms of OCD, mania, and schizophrenia loaded very highly on *p*, but unlike symptoms of Externalizing and Internalizing, they could not form a separate Thought Disorder factor independently of *p*. We respecified the model accordingly, depicted in **Model B'**.

FIGURE S4. Plot of the positive correlation between the variety and persistence of mental disorders and *p* factor scores in the Dunedin Study.



The *p* factor captures how Study members differ from each other in the variety and persistence of many different kinds of disorders over the life course. Study members with higher *p* scores experienced a greater number of mental disorders from adolescence to midlife (r=.76). Shaded area represents ± 1 SD for *p*.

FIGURE S5. Covariate analyses of associations between factor scores and global cortical thickness. We conducted analyses with the addition of several covariates to our initial covariate of sex focusing on global cortical thickness. Specifically, we modeled if Study members reported taking any psychoactive medication at age 45 or if they were diagnosed with a chronic medical disease as well as their childhood SES. We also modeled a dimensional measure of Image Quality – the Euler number – derived from FreeSurfer. As illustred below, these analyses revealed that the association between p factor scores and global cortical thickness were robust to all of these covariates.



FIGURE S6. Case-Control Analyses of Global Cortical Thickness. Consistent with our factor-based analyses, all of these case-control analyses revealed decreased global cortical thickness as a feature of diagnosis.



FIGURE S7. Forest plots depicting the effect sizes (standardized ßs) and 95% confidence intervals of associations between each of the four factor scores and cortical thickness for all 360 parcels, divided into left and right hemisphere columns. INT: Internalizing; EXT: Externalizing; THT: Thought Disorder; *p*: General Psychopathology.



INT



EXT



тнт



FIGURE S8. Mutlivariate analysis of unique associations between parcel-wise cortical thickness and scores on the three broad diagnostic families of disorder derived from the correlated factors model. When partialling out the variance associated with the other factors, a significant association with reduced cortical thickness was only observed between two parcels and scores on the Externalizing factor. There were no unique associations with scores on the Internalizing or Thought Disoder factors. Color bar reflects effect sizes (standardized β s).



FIGURE S9. Forest plots depicting the effect sizes (standardized ßs) and 95% confidence intervals of associations between each of the four factor scores and surface area for all 360 parcels, divided into left and right hemisphere columns. INT: Internalizing; EXT: Externalizing; THT: Thought Disorder; *p*: General Psychopathology.



INT





тнт



р

FIGURE S10. Whole-brain voxel-wise associations with grey matter volume for each of the four factor scores. Whole-brain voxel-based morphometry maps for each factor were thresholded at voxel-wise p < 0.05, FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons.



Cluster		Peak					
p(FWE-corr)	k	p(FWE-corr)	Т	x,y,z {mm}	Anatomical Label		
p							
0	705	0.001	5.38	9 45 -26	Right superior frontal gyrus, orbital part		
0.005	131	0.005	5.02	-2 51 23	Left superior frontal gyrus, medial part		
0	468	0.005	5.01	0 -14 11	Left thalamus		
0.003	165	0.01	4.85	-8 29 -23	Left gyrus rectus		
0.031	12	0.014	4.78	54 15 29	Right inferior frontal gyrus, opercular part		
0.02	32	0.014	4.77	-41 14 -17	Left inferior frontal gyrus		
0.002	195	0.016	4.75	5 -98 -3	Right cuneus		
0.035	8	0.021	4.68	20 -15 -11	Right sub-lobar		
0.018	39	0.023	4.65	-53 -11 42	Left postcentral gyrus		
0.036	7	0.028	4.61	59 -11 29	Right postcentral gyrus		
0.04	4	0.044	4.49	-26 48 18	Left middle frontal gyrus		
				Thought			
0	1616	0	5.6	8 44 - 26	Right gyrus rectus		
0	519	0	5.51	-2 51 23	Left superior frontal gyrus, medial		
0.013	57	0.002	5.2	54 15 29	Right inferior frontal gyrus, opercular part		
0	558	0.003	5.12	0-14 11	Left thalamus		
0.003	167	0.003	5.09	-53 -11 41	Left postcentral gyrus		
0.009	88	0.006	4.98	-41 14 -17	Left inferior frontal gyrus		
0.001	318	0.006	4.96	-8 -84 -17	Left lingual gyrus		
0.019	36	0.012	4.82	59 -11 29	Right postcentral gyrus		
0.013	57	0.013	4.79	-26 48 20	Left superior frontal gyrus		
0.032	11	0.018	4.71	20 - 17 - 11	Right brainstem		
0.033	10	0.027	4.62	39 15 -20	Right inferior frontal gyrus		
0.037	6	0.032	4.57	47 5 -6	Right superior temporal gyrus/insula		
0.033	10	0.033	4.56	26 3-17	Right subcallosal gyrus/amygdala		
0.039	5	0.038	4.53	44 8-14	Right superior temporal gyrus		
0.043	2	0.04	4.52	35 18 - 30	Right superior temporal pole		
Internalizing							
0.015	50	0.005	4.99	-6 -83 -17	Left lingual gyrus		
0.028	16	0.008	4.91	54 15 29	Right inferior frontal gyrus, opercular part		
0.036	7	0.031	4.58	-2 51 23	Left superior frontal gyrus, medial		
0.027	19	0.033	4.57	9 44 -26	Right superior frontal gyrus, orbital part		
Externalizing							
0	742	0	6.37	-44 32 17	Left inferior frontal gyrus, triangular part		
0	11849	0	6.26	0 54 18	Left superior frontal gyrus, medial		
0	954	0	5.85	-41 8-17	Left superior temporal gyrus/insula		
0	393	0	5.58	-51 -12 36	Left postcentral gyrus		

TABLE S2. Summary statistics and anatomical labels for significant clusters in the VBM analyses of grey matter volume correlates of the four factor scores depicted in Figure S10.

Cluster		Peak						
p(FWE-corr)	k	p(FWE-corr)	Т	x,y,z {mm}	Anatomical Label			
Externalizing								
0	1110	0.001	5.39	5 3 45	Right supplementary motor area			
0	566	0.001	5.32	42 9-17	Right superior temporal gyrus			
0.002	198	0.003	5.16	-45 8 30	Left precentral gyrus/inferior frontal gyrus			
0.005	131	0.004	5.08	27 35 41	Right middle frontal gyrus			
0.006	111	0.004	5.04	18 - 32 - 3	Right thalamus			
0.014	55	0.005	5.02	20 -17 -11	Right brainstem			
0.004	146	0.006	4.97	26 -42 -12	Right fusiform gyrus/parahippocampal gyrus			
0.001	307	0.007	4.94	2 - 11 9	Right thalamus			
0.022	28	0.009	4.88	56 - 24 48	Right postcentral gyrus			
0.015	51	0.009	4.87	53 14 29	Right inferior frontal gyrus, opercular part			
0.006	118	0.01	4.86	50 -9 45	Right precentral gyrus			
0.014	55	0.016	4.74	-33 -83 -18	Left fusiform gyrus			
0.016	45	0.017	4.73	56 -11 29	Right precentral gyrus			
0.021	30	0.02	4.69	15 60 -6	Right superior frontal gyrus, orbital part			
0.04	4	0.027	4.62	42 24 12	Right inferior frontal gyrus, triangular part			
0.027	19	0.028	4.61	-12 -30 -5	Right brainstem			
0.031	13	0.03	4.59	57 - 23 - 9	Right middle temporal gyrus			
0.03	14	0.033	4.56	-60 -20 -9	Left middle temporal gyrus			
0.037	6	0.037	4.54	-18 -14 -11	Left extra-nuclear			
0.043	2	0.041	4.51	-47 -32 -6	Left temporal lobe			
0.037	6	0.042	4.51	6 -92 -6	Right lingual gyrus			
0.046	1	0.047	4.48	6-20 59	Right supplementary motor area			
0.043	2	0.047	4.48	35 - 39 44	Right supramarginal gyrus			
0.046	1	0.048	4.47	42 17 32	Right inferior frontal gyrus, opercular part			
0.046	1	0.049	4.46	12 62 14	Right superior frontal gyrus, medial			