

Contact: Sarah Avery Phone: 919-660-1306

Email: <a href="mailto:sarah.avery@duke.edu">sarah.avery@duke.edu</a>
<a href="http://dukehealthnews.org">http://dukehealthnews.org</a>

EMBARGOED FOR RELEASE until noon (ET) on Monday, March 15, 2021

By Midlife, Some People Are Already on the Path to the Frailties of Old Age Identifying people who are aging quickly could lead to earlier interventions to slow the process

DURHAM, N.C. – People grow old at different rates, regardless of what the calendar says. And for those whose bodies age more quickly, the cumulative effects show up as early as midlife, when early signs of dementia and physical frailty begin to emerge, according to a study led by Duke researchers.

The findings, appearing Monday in the journal <u>Nature Aging</u>, suggest that identifying and treating the diseases of old age should begin by the time people celebrate their 45<sup>th</sup> birthday, before the problems escalate, degrade quality of life and impose huge personal and societal costs.

"Aging isn't something that happens suddenly when people reach their 60s, it's a lifelong process," said lead author Maxwell Elliott, a Ph.D. student in Duke's Department of Psychology & Neuroscience. "We have a way of measuring how quickly people are aging, and our findings highlight the importance of addressing biological aging in midlife while prevention is possible and before heavy organ damage has accumulated."



Elliott and colleagues -- including senior author Terrie Moffitt, Ph.D., Nannerl O. Keohane University Distinguished Professor in Psychology & Neuroscience -- created a unique database that was established in New Zealand in the 1970s. The Dunedin Study enrolled 1,037 babies born in

1972-73, and more than 90% the participants are still enrolled and continue to participate in periodic health measurements.

Among the data gathered over the years are biomarkers for changes in heart, kidney, lung and immune system functions, as well as dental health, mental acuity and physical abilities. Duke researchers, in earlier studies, used nineteen distinct health factors collected regularly among this group to establish a means of measuring the biological aging process.

"The benefits of using this cohort for studying aging data is that everyone is the same age and we are able to measure them over decades using the same biomarkers," Elliott said.

By assigning an aging rate to the study group based on the biomarkers for organ health, the researchers found that some of the 45-year-olds aged at a rate that was slower than average for their chronological age. These slow-aging participants looked younger (their faces had fewer wrinkles), they remained mentally sharp, their cardiovascular health was good and they continued to walk at a brisk pace.

On the other end of the spectrum were 45-year-olds who aged more rapidly. These people looked older, showed signs of cognitive decline as measured by IQ scores, felt less healthy and even tended to have pessimistic attitudes towards aging. By midlife, people who had aged more rapidly were already at risk of developing frailties that impair physical and financial independence.

"Our analysis shows that the pace of aging is a strong indicator of the cumulative, progressive and gradual deterioration across organ systems that underlies biological aging," Moffitt said. "These findings demonstrate that meaningful variations in biological aging can be measured and quantified in midlife, providing a window of opportunity for the mitigation of age-related diseases."

Elliott noted that earlier interventions to slow the speed of aging would have benefits both for individuals and to the broader society.

"Social services, including Medicare and social security, are based on chronological age and kick in later in life, but people who have an accelerated rate of biological aging will have age-related disabilities earlier and often need to retire earlier," he said. "Earlier interventions could save lives, preserve quality of life and reduce health care and other costs."

In addition to Elliott and Moffitt, study authors include Avshalom Caspi, Renate M. Houts, Antony Ambler, Jonathan M. Broadbent, Robert J. Hancox, HonaLee Harrington, Sean Hogan, Ross Keenan, Annchen Knodt, Joan H. Leung, Tracy R. Melzer, Suzanne C. Purdy, Sandhya Ramrakha, Leah S. Richmond-Rakerd, Antoinette Righarts, Karen Sugden, W. Murray Thomson, Peter R. Thorne, Benjamin S. Williams, Graham Wilson, Ahmad R. Hariri and Richie Poulton.

The study received funding support from the National Institute on Aging (R01AG032282, R01AG049789), the UK Medical Research Council (MR/P005918/1) and the Jacobs Foundation (NIA P30 AG028716, NIA P30 AG034424). The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Research Unit was supported by the New Zealand Health Research Council (15-265 and 16-604) and the New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment.